

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,135	12/30/2003	Ajay G. Gupta	INTEL27	3845
6980 TROUTMAN	7590 05/22/2007 SANDERS LLP		EXAMINER	
600 PEACHTE	REE STREET, NE		TRUONG, LECHI	
ATLANTA, GA 30308			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2194	
				. <u></u>
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/749,135	GUPTA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LeChi Truong	2194				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/30/2007. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received to the EXAMINER.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/28/06, 2/13/06. S. Retent and Trademark Office.						

Application/Control Number: 10/749,135

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-24 are presented for the examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 8, 9, 10, 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snead et al (US 2003/0041179 A1) in view of Lucovsky (US 6,868450 B1).

As to claim 1, Sneard teaches the invention substantially as claimed including: a driver (driver 206, para [0033], ln 12-14/ Fig. 2), interface device (storage device 204, para [0033], ln 12-14), access data (erasing the content on the media, para [0037], ln 13-15/access to remove a tape of tape drives, para [0042], ln 4-6/ erasing media and adding ... for the tape or device, para [0043], ln 5-8), driver adapted to control interface device and monitor access data (para [0037], ln 6-15); a first manager adapter (the application, para[0038], ln 6-14/ para[0039], ln 19-22), a first connection manager adapted to register with the driver and receive notification data from the driver, the driver provides the notification data to the first connection manager(para[0038], ln 6-14/ para[0039], ln 19-22), the second connection manager (the library manager 200, para[0035],

Art Unit: 2194

In 1-4) when the driver detects network access data from a second connection manager(para[0037], ln 1-15).

Sneard does not explicitly teach network access a network interface card. However, Lucovsky teaches network access a network interface card (communication packets through a network transport control protocol/Internet protocol (TCP/IP) driver to a network interface card, col 2, ln 20-25/ determine whether the software can access the network interface card, col 2, ln 33-35).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Snared to incorporate the feature of network access a network interface card because this provides the system to restrict access of one computer to one or a set of network interface card.

As to claim 8, Lucovsky teaches network access data comprises network driver interface specification (NDIS) object identifiers (col 5, ln 7-9).

As to claim 9, it is an apparatus claim of claim 1; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 1 above. In additional, Lucovsky teaches monitoring network access data from a second connection manager (col 11, ln 29-33).

As to claims 10, 17-18, they are apparatus claims 8, 9; therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 8, 9 above.

3. Claims **2**, **3** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snead et al (US 2003/0041179 A1) in view of Lucovsky (US 6,868450 B1) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Nakamura et al (US 2003/0078898 A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/749,135 Page 4

Art Unit: 2194

As to claim 2, Sneard and Lucovsky do not explicitly teach a user interface adapted to receive notification data the first connection manager, receive user input from a user, and provide the user input to the first connection manager. However, Nakamura teaches a user interface adapted to receive notification data from the first connection manager, receive user input from a user, and provide the user input to the first connection manager (the user' home 26 are connected with unit charge notification apparatus 33 in the service facilities 21, para [0092], ln 1-5/ Fig. 4/ the apparatus 33 notifies the received initial unit charge to the charging apparatus 34 and the user's home 26, para [0107], ln 3-6para[0053], ln 3-6)/ the user can look at the displayed unit charge on the display 28, then can access to the computer 24 in the service facilities 21, para[0108], ln 3-7).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Sneard, Lucovsky to incorporate the features of a user interface adapted to receive notification data the first connection manager, receive user input from a user, and provide the user input to the first connection manager because this allows a users to use the service in user's desired manner by looking at the display unit.

As to claim 3, Nakanura teaches display the notification data received from the first connection manager to the user (para [0101], ln 1-3).

4. Claims 4-7, 11-16, 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snead et al (US 2003/0041179 A1) in view of Lucovsky (US 6,868450 B1) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Jenney (US 6,349,335 B1).

Application/Control Number: 10/749,135

Art Unit: 2194

As to claim 4, Snead and Lucovsky do not teach the first connection manager is adapted to unregistered with the driver and the driver is further adapted to stop monitoring access data. However, Dominic teaches the first connection manager is adapted to unregistered with the driver and the driver is further adapted to stop monitoring access data (IF the user indicated that they wish to withdraw the computer 20 from the list of those computer being monitored by the server 16, clicking on field 62 with pointer 64 will remove the computer from the list of identified computers eligible for being monitored by the server, col 8, ln 39-45).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Sneard, Lucovsky to incorporate the features of the first connection manager is adapted to unregistered with the driver and the driver is further adapted to stop monitoring access data because this detects a computer failure or crash during operation when the user is not attending the computer so that such a failure may be addressed as soon as possible.

As to claim 5, Jenney teaches the first connection manager is adapted to unregistered with the driver and the driver is further adapted to stop monitoring network access data when instructed to do so by a user via a user interface (col 8, ln 40-50/ ln 32-37).

As to claim 6, Jenney teaches the first connection manager is adapted to unregistered with the driver and the driver is further adapted to stop monitoring network access data when required by a predetermined policy rule (col 9, ln 61-67).

As to claim 7, Jenney teaches the first connection manager is further adapted to disable the second connection manager (col 8, ln 41-46).

As to claims 11-12, they are apparatus claims of claims 4, 7; therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 4, 7 above.

As to claims 13, 14, they are apparatus claims of claims 4-5; therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 4-5 above.

As to claim 15, it is an apparatus claim of claims 3-4; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claims 3-4 above. In additional, Jenney teaches determining if user input requires disabling the first connection manager (col 9, ln 65-67).

As to claim 16, Jenney teaches if the second connection manager is registered with the driver then unregistering the second connection manager with the driver associated with the device (col 8, ln 39-47), wherein the unregistering of the second connection manager is prior to registering the first connection manager with the driver associated with the device (col 7, ln 59-61).

As to claims 19-24, they are apparatus claims of claims 11-16; therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 11-16.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LeChi Truong whose telephone number is (571) 272 3767. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomson, William can be reached on (571) 272 3718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,135 Page 7

Art Unit: 2194

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIP. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIP system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll-free).

LeChi Truong

May 10, 2007

WILLIAM THOMSON AMINER
WILLIAM THOMSON EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER